UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 12-cr-00727-EJD
v. ALDO MARTINEZ	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance y	with the Bail Reform Act 18 U.S.C. 8	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on October 30, 2012.
		Arlidge. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.
Attorney Jeff Nedrow.		gov rise contest was represented by riselfamily 6.6.
PART I. PRESUMPTION		
/ / The defend	lant is charged with an offense descri	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense descri	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while o	on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than	five (5) years has elapsed since the da	ate of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.		
This establishes	s a rebuttable presumption that no cor	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and	·	
		nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offens		
A. 🗶		risonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
_	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a	* '
B		firearm during the commission of a felony.
		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
= =	dant as required and the safety of the	community.
/ / No presum		ED
\ /	PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	ELEP
therefore will be ordered	iant has not come forward with suffic	ient evidence to rebut the applicable pre umption[s], and he
therefore will be ordered	i detained. Iont has come forward with evidence	to rebut the applicable procumption[a] to unQCT 3 () LUIL
Thus, the burde	ant has come forward with evidence	to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: tates. RICHARD W. WIEKING RICHARD W. WIEKING COLITA NAPPLICABLE) CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COLITA CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF CALLIFORNIA CLERK DISTRICT OF CALLIFORNIA CLERK DISTRICT OF CALLIFORNIA COLOR OF CALLIFORNIA TO CO
PART III PROOF (W/III	ERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR I	INARPRICA PLE) RICHARD DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
/ The United	States has proved to a preponderance	e of the evidence that no condition or North institutions will
reasonably assure the an	pearance of the defendant as required	AND/OR
		incing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
	fety of any other person and the comm	_
•	NDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT O	•
		out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as		(6)
_	his attorney, and the AUSA have wai	ived written findings.
	REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is co	ommitted to the custody of the Attorn	ey General or his designated representative for confinement in a
		s awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appear
ne defendant shall be affor	rded a reasonable opportunity for priv	vate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
		t, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
fendant to the United Star	tes Marshal for the purpose of an appe	earance in connection with a court proceeding.
ated:) 6 3		OWARD R. LLOYD

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ____